



- Introduction
- Insurers' concerns
- Available cover
 Engaging the insurance market
- Meet the team
- About Marsh

Contents

Introduction

The hydropower sector is undergoing steady growth and projected to represent around 28% of the world's power by 2030. Indeed, by 2021, total installed hydropower capacity was 1,360.1GW.

Marsh Specialty's research suggests that, although concerns remain over its social and environmental impact in some territories, 1730 hydropower projects are planned or under construction globally, with capacity growing at 1.9% year on year.

However, a number of high-profile losses in recent years have raised questions about the suitability of available insurance. These losses coincided with an already transitioning construction insurance market, and a time when more hydropower projects are being developed in challenging locations using private finance.

Complex hydropower projects have always attracted substantial scrutiny from insurers, due to a combination of extensive civil engineering with mechanical works in remote locations, often subject to the impact of seasonal weather. The number of insurers willing to insure hydropower projects has always been limited, with many considering it too high-risk.

Following recent claims, some major lead insurers have withdrawn from the sector, reducing choice further. If insurers continue leaving the sector or significantly restrict cover, developers and contractors will face limited project protection, calling into question the financing of future projects, and perhaps their entire viability.

1,360.1_{GW}

In 2021, total installed hydropower capacity was 1,360.1GW.



Insurers' concerns

In light of these market changes, Marsh Specialty interviewed a number of leading global insurers to gauge their concerns and underwriting stance. These views are summarized below, along with our analysis of the market, and tips on how to best engage the insurance market.

Private-sector involvement

Some insurers perceive private-sector involvement to have increased the commercial drivers behind hydropower projects. This has resulted in contracts that can place more risk on the contractor, impose unrealistic schedules, or require significant delay in start-up (DSU)¹ sums insured. This can be exacerbated by political pressure to complete projects within promised timeframes.

Delay in start up (DSU)

This coverage is treated as very high-risk by insurers, because even limited physical damage can cause disproportionate project delays due to the remote locations, variable tunneling conditions, and the seasonal construction schedules commonly associated with hydropower projects.

Contract allocation

Insurers are always more receptive to contract agreements that demonstrate a considered approach to risk sharing. Conversely, they will be concerned by any contract that allocates significant levels of unpredictable risk to a contractor under a lump-sum contract, due to the difficulties in mitigating these risks without the necessary funds. This is particularly true where ground conditions are concerned, and the funds available for the work can have a direct bearing on the type of support provided to the tunneling. Insurers hope that the recently released FIDIC Emerald contracts will put risk-sharing at the forefront of project parties' minds when negotiating future project contracts.

1| Insuring the financial consequences of a delay in completion of the project due to insurable physical damage.



Tunneling losses


Tunneling losses are under increased focus. with insurers having found they relate to around 70% of incurred physical damage losses. Insurers' concern will be influenced by tunneling length, profile, geology, ground cover, and methodology, which will vary significantly between projects. While other transportation and infrastructure tunnels may be built with longevity and safety in mind, many hydropower tunnels remain unlined and unmaintained. A number of recent losses occurred as a direct result of the throughput of water affecting rock, which is soluble or subject to swelling. As a result, this is drawing attention from insurers. Improved insurance cover and lower rates will undoubtedly be available for projects incorporating permanent in situ or segmental concrete lining. Due to environmental concerns, many designs are also incorporating deeper and longer tunnels that bring additional challenges; the length of tunnels involved, and the perception of the geological conditions faced, will continue to be among the biggest factors affecting insurers' rating of project risks.

Seasonal conditions

Seasonal conditions concern insurers (particularly exposure to floods), and a lack of historical data for any project site will affect their perception of the risk, as will the safety measures incorporated into any permanent or temporary works. In the drive to complete projects as quickly as possible, and at the lowest cost, some 1:10 year designs have been incorporated into the temporary works of six-year construction projects, which does not provide much margin for variation. Insurers have stressed that 1:20 year return periods are the minimum they would accept, and they would prefer to see temporary work designs factoring in 1:30 to 1:50 conditions. While some engineers may consider this excessive, questions should be raised during the design stage as to whether the cost of this is worthwhile given the impact on insurers' perception, and the resultant reduction of project risk and impact on transfer costs.

Site quality

Insurers believe that most installed power capacity has already been sited at the optimum (least challenging) project locations, so any remaining sites will inevitably bring new challenges. Insurers question whether the risk profile is deteriorating, amid the potential impact of climate change. These factors compound underwriters' concerns, and underline the importance of proactively approaching insurers and promoting robust risk mitigation strategies.



ENGAGING THE INSURANCE MARKET

In order to benefit from the broadest cover possible, and the most competitive prices anddeductibles, contractors and developers would be advised to approach the insurance of projects even more carefully than before, and consider taking the following steps:



Engage with their broker at an early stage to review contract agreements; align aims, expectations, and information requirements; and plan the market approach together. This is especially important where commitments may be made to project parties or lenders, which might prove difficult to honur at the time of placement and could affect the project commercially.



Build flexibility into contractual insurance commitments; alternatively a conservative stance should be taken.



Engage designers, contractors, and engineers to lend assistance in preparing the necessary technical information and respond to insurers' queries.



Engage with insurers in a structured manner, presenting a detailed construction underwriting report and a well-planned marketing process that allows sufficient time for technical discussions.

Available cover

Some insurers have reported a loss ratio² well in excess of 100% in the hydropower sector, with some reporting ratios up to 300% and others expected to be even higher following the agreement in 2021 of a high profile loss.

Some construction insurance market issues are not confined to the hydropower sector. Years of low pricing and wide cover for construction insurance — where projects take years to complete and the highest risk is towards the project's completion — means that claims are catching up with many construction insurers.

The losses experienced in the hydropower sector are exacerbating these changing market conditions. Many insurers are seeking significant premium rate increases in order for the sector to be profitable and sustainable. For the hydropower construction projects that Marsh Specialty has placed in the last decade or so, average pricing has roughly halved in the last six to eight years. As always, the question is at what point will additional capacity enter the market, potentially stabilizing or deflating pricing, and if so — when?

Insurers are also reconsidering the cover they provide, with most no longer prepared to offer the widest form of defects cover. Some insurers have indicated exclusions, restrictions, or limited cover applying to key elements such as temporary roads, landslide cover, and rock-filled dams. For nearly all insurers, specific criteria would need to be met to obtain DSU cover. And with most insurers, cover would only be available for ongoing debt service and fixed costs in order to satisfy the standard minimum requirements of lenders; cover is rarely available on a full-revenue basis.

Meet the team

To enquire further about how we can assist your power project, please call your local Marsh Specialty representative or:

Matthew Kendle



| +44 (0)20 7357 1461 | matthew.kendle@marsh.com

Darren Marshall



)ı +44 (0)20 7528 4202 darren.marshall@marsh.com



About Marsh

Marsh is the world's leading insurance broker and risk advisor. With around 45,000 colleagues operating in more than 130 countries, Marsh serves commercial and individual clients with data-driven risk solutions and advisory services. Marsh is a business of Marsh McLennan (NYSE: MMC), the world's leading professional services firm in the areas of risk, strategy and people. With annual revenue nearly \$20 billion, Marsh McLennan helps clients navigate an increasingly dynamic and complex environment through four market-leading businesses: Marsh, Guy Carpenter, Mercer and Oliver Wyman. For more information, visit mmc.com, follow us on LinkedIn and Twitter or subscribe to BRINK.

This is a marketing communication. Marsh Specialty is a trading name of Marsh Ltd. Marsh Ltd is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority for General Insurance Distribution and Credit Broking (Firm Reference No. 307511). Copyright © 2021 Marsh Ltd. Registered in England and Wales Number: 1507274, Registered office: 1 Tower Place West, Tower Place, London EC3R 5BU. All rights reserved. Statements concerning legal, tax or accounting matters should be understood to be general observations based solely on our experience as insurance brokers and risk consultants and should not be relied upon as legal, tax or accounting advice, which we are not authorized to provide. This publication contains third party content and/or links to third party websites. Links to third party websites are provided as a convenience only. Marsh is not responsible or liable for any third party content or any third party website nor does it imply a recommendation or endorsement of such content, websites or services offered by third parties. Copyright 2022. 22-906686572.